

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. NO.7/2015 in Writ Petition (Civil) No.92/2011

EDUCATION PROMOTION SOCIETY FOR INDIA & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For directions and office report)

With I.A. No.4 in W.P.(C) No.90 of 2011

(For directions and office report)

Date : 04/12/2015 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. K. K. Venugopal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Gopal Shankranarayan, Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Bhatt, Adv.

For Mrs. Lalita Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Renjith B., Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Udit Arora, Adv.

For Mr. C. D. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anil Soni, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Prateek Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Reference may be made to this Court's order dated 15.10.2014 which reads as under :

“We have heard Dr. A.M. Singhvi and Mr. K.K.

Venugopal, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the

petitioners and also Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel

appearing for the respondent-All India Council for
Technical Education (AICTE).

Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel submitted that
since the matter concerning same subject is referred to
the larger Bench and the matters are sub-judice, it would
be appropriate to defer the hearing of these cases.
However, he has no objection with regard to extension of
interim relief granted by this Court on earlier
occasions.

Having regard to the submission made by Mr. Amitesh
Kumar, learned counsel appearing for AICTE, the interim
orders passed by this Court on 18.02.2013 as well as on
10.07.2012 shall continue for the academic session
2015-2016 also.”

Mr. K. K. Venugopal, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners, submits that having regard to
the pendency of the matter before the Larger Bench, it would be proper for the ends of justice if the
interim orders passed by this Court be extended for the Academic Sessions 2016-2017.

Mr. Anil Soni, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-AICTE, submits that he has no objection in
the event the interim orders passed by this Court are further extended for the Academic Sessions 2016-
2017.

In view of the aforesaid premises, we direct that the interim orders passed by this Court on 10.07.2012
and 18.02.2013 are extended and shall continue for the Academic Sessions 2016-2017.

These interlocutory applications stand disposed of accordingly.

(Sanjay Kumar-II)

Court Master

(Indu Pokhriyal)

Court Master